



(6) Petitioner has failed to file timely objections to the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation.

AND, IT FURTHER APPEARING THAT:

(7) If no objections are filed to a Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation, the plaintiff is not statutorily entitled to a de novo review of his claims. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C); Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 150-53 (1985). Nonetheless, the usual practice of the district court is to give "reasoned consideration" to a magistrate judge's report prior to adopting it. Henderson v. Carlson, 812 F. 2d 874, 878 (3d Cir. 1987).

(8) We have considered the Magistrate Judge's Report and we concur with his recommendation.

(9) After reviewing the record, we agree with the Magistrate Judge's thorough analysis that the Parole Commission's decision was reached using the proper regulations.

ACCORDINGLY, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

(1) The Report and Recommendation of Magistrate Judge Martin C. Carlson dated March 31, 2015 (Doc. 9) is **ADOPTED**;

(2) The Petitioner's petition for writ of habeas corpus is **DENIED**;

(3) The Clerk of Court is directed to **CLOSE** this case and to forward a copy of this Order to the Magistrate Judge; and,

(4) Based on the court's conclusion herein, there is no basis for the issuance of a certificate of appealability.

s/Edwin M. Kosik  
Edwin M. Kosik  
United States District Judge